

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/822,735	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SUN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> BARBARA N. BURGESS	<b>Art Unit</b> 2457	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) BARBARA N. BURGESS.

(2) Thinh Nguyen.

**Date of Interview:** 5 May 2010

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
102 and 103 (Shiigi and Shiigi in view of Lewis)

Claims discussed:  
1, 8

Prior art documents discussed:  
Shiigi and Lewis references

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** Amendment

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** Evening

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted Applicant's representative Thinh Nguyen to discuss the packetier step of claim 1 and the enabling and disabling function of claim 8. Thinh Nguyen explained these limitations and how they execute with the rest of the claim limitations. No agreement was made..